

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

 APPLICATION NO.
 FILING DATE
 FIRST NAMED INVENTOR
 ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
 CONFIRMATION NO.

 10/659,759
 09/10/2003
 Rosemary F. Knuth
 460.2294USU
 3483

 7590
 08/17/2005
 EXAMINER

Charles N.J. Ruggiero, Esq.
Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle, L.L.P.
10th Floor
One Landmark Square
Stamford, CT 06901-2682

WEAVER, SUE A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3727

DATE MAILED: 08/17/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			SP
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/659,759	KNUTH ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Sue A. Weaver	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence addr	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may by within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mose, cause the application to become	a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal ma	atters, prosecution as to the r	nerits is
closed in accordance with the practice under t	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-64 is/are pending in the application	1.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-64</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/			ner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attach	led Office Action or form PTC	J-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Burea	ts have been received. ts have been received in ority documents have bee	Application No	tage
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	t of the certified copies no	ot received.	
Attachment(s)	_		
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) ∐ Interviev Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
 Notice of Dransperson's Patent Drawing Review (F10-348) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/22/03. 		f Informal Patent Application (PTO-1	152)

Application/Control Number: 10/659,759

Art Unit: 3727

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: The feature "10" does not appear to have been identified. Further the numerals are rough and blurred. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Page 2

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not

Art Unit: 3727

been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4, 6-8, 13, 31-34, 36, 40, 56-58, 60 and 61 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Applicants are advised that the claims are lacking in sufficient structure or steps to affect the function of reducing the oxygen transmission rate to the levels claimed.

Moreover claim 61 lacks the steps necessary to impede UV transmission. These claims amount to no more than desired result.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/659,759

Art Unit: 3727

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 20, 25, 26, 28-30, 45, 50, 51 and 53-55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Duckwall, WO '066.

Duckwall teaches the use of a nursing liner with a holder, as claimed. Note that the liner is multilayer as shown in Figure 2 and includes Nylon, EVA and LDPE.

4. Claims 20, 24-28, 45 and 49-53 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yanase, '006, cited by applicants.

Yanase also teaches a laminated liner for use with a holder. The liner includes a layer of Nylon and is resealable as claimed.

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-3, 5, 7-9, 23, 31-33, 35, 48 and 56-58 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duckwall '066 in view of Tsai et al '160, cited by applicants.

Tsai et al teach known transmission rates for barrier material. To have formed the barrier layer to provide such known oxygen transmission rates would have been obvious in view of the teaching by Tsai et al.

Art Unit: 3727

6. Claims 1-3, 5-7, 23, 31-33, 35, 36, 48 and 56-59 are rejected under 35
U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanese '006 as applied to claims 20 and 45
above, and further in view of Tsai et al '160.

To have provided the liner with an oxygen barrier layer with a transmission rate as taught by Tsai et al would have been oblivious to one having ordinary skill in the art.

7. Claims 10-12,14, 16, 18, 19, 21, 22, 37-39, 41, 43, 44, 46, 47 and 61-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Duckwall '066 as applied to claims 20 and 45 above, and further in view of Macauley et al '226.

To have added a material impeding the transmission of UV light would have been obvious in view of Macauley et al teaching the use of such a material in a laminate for oxygen sensitive materials. The amount of material is considered to be dependent upon the amount of transmission desired.

- 8. Claims 10-12,14-17, 21, 22, 37-39, 41-44, 46,47 and 61-64 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yanase '006 as applied to claims 20 and 45 above, and further in view of Macauley et al '226 in the manner set forth in the paragraph above.
- 9. Claims 4, 13, 34, 40 and 60 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the references as applied to claims 1 and 31 above, and further in _view of Macauley et al '226 in the manner set forth in paragraph 8 above.
- 10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Morano et al teach another Playtex liner which anticipated claims 20 and 45. Aida et al show another resealable liner which may be laminated. Ropiak

Application/Control Number: 10/659,759 Page 6

Art Unit: 3727

et al, Otsuka et al, Zaganiacz, Graboski et al and Kirshenbaum et al show containers for light sensitive materials. Simonsen and Oyama et al show other container closures.

Shirmer, Suzuke et al and Bissot show other oxygen barrier materials.

11. The following are suggested formats for either a Certificate of Mailing or Certificate of Transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). The certification may be included with all correspondence concerning this application or proceeding to establish a date of mailing or transmission under 37 CFR 1.8(a). Proper use of this procedure will result in such communication being considered as timely if the established date is within the required period for reply. The Certificate should be signed by the individual actually depositing or transmitting the correspondence or by an individual who, upon information and belief, expects the correspondence to be mailed or transmitted in the normal course of business by another no later than the date indicated.

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to:

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450

-
to the United States Patent and

Application/Control Number: 10/659,759

Signature: ____

Art Unit: 3727

Page 7	
--------	--

Registration Number:
Please refer to 37 CFR 1.6(d) and 1.8(a)(2) for filing limitations concerning facsimile transmissions and mailing, respectively.

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sue A. Weaver whose telephone number is (571) 272-4548. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday (6-4:30).

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Frimary Examiner

SW